



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/543,028	04/04/2000	Thomas Anthony Montgomery	200-0098	5383	
7590 09/24/2004			EXAMINER		
Daniel H Bliss			GARCIA OTERO, EDUARDO		
Bliss McGlynn				D + DCD + H H + DCD	
2075 West Big 1	Beaver Road		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 600			2123		
Troy, MI 4808	84		DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/543,028	MONTGOMERY, THOMAS ANTHONY				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eduardo Garcia-Otero	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s			
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated application application abandonery which are the control of	ation. A proper reply to n places the application	a n in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Offic	ate extension ce action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) \(Interpolation and the december of the december o						
issues for appeal; and/or	., ,					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed am	endment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT pl	lace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were ne	ewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	(0)(·				
Superior Sup						

Regarding 09/543,028 Advisory Action:

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's Remarks are not persuasive, thus all rejections are maintained.

Specifically, Remarks page 6-9 asserts that each cited prior art does not individually disclose all of the limitations. Said assertion is not persuasive for overcoming a 35 USC 103 rejection.

Remarks page 11 asserts that Reed "does not implicitly disclose that the common and default relationship is for all switches to be coincident and closed together because the controller 10 is programmed such that time blocks are provided to prevent the simultaneous acutation...", apparently refering to paragraph 13 of the Final Action.

Note that in claim 1 limitation 2 (paragraph 31 and 32 of the Final Action) the rejection primarily cites Stevenson as disclosing "organizing the identified switches... coincident... sequential", and redundantly cites Reed as "also disclosed by Reed column 1 line 13 "simultaneous initiation of operation of two or more such devices" regarding the "coincident" portion of claim 1 limitation 2.

Thus, an assertion that does not address Stevenson's disclosure of coincident and sequential is not persuasive.

In summary, Applicant's Remarks are not persuasive, thus all rejections are maintained.